arXiv:hep-ph/9309205v2 13 Sep 1993

CERN-TH.6971/93
hep-ph@xxxx.lanl.gov/9309205

Partial-wave amplitudes and multiparticle production

Costas G. Papadopoulos

TH Division, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract

Recursion relations for integrals of amplitudes over the phase space, i.e. for partial wave amplitudes, are introduced. In their simplest form these integrals are proportional to the s-wave amplitudes and represent rigorous lower bounds on the total cross sections. The connection with classical field equations in D dimensions is established. Previous results on multiparticle amplitudes are easily reproduced.

CERN-TH.6971/93
August 1993

The high-multiplicity limit of processes involving scalar particles has been studied in several contexts [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Ordinary perturbation theory for the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(\infty \rightarrow \backslash)$ predicts a factorial growth, $n!$, which is inconsistent with the unitarity limits. Higher-order corrections at threshold [8, 9, 10] exhibit an even faster growth with n, and the whole perturbative expansion breaks down for sufficiently high multiplicities.

On the other hand, the *nullification phenomenon*, i.e. the nullification of $\mathcal{A}(\in \rightarrow \backslash)$ amplitudes at threshold in certain theories [8, 11, 12, 13], including the Standard Model with specific relations among the different couplings [14, 15], might be the signal that, under certain conditions, the perturbative expansion is still consistent with the unitarity limits: delicate cancellations among different graphs contributing to the amplitude might soften the $n!$ growth below the limiting case of the $\sqrt{n!}$ one, so that the cross sections still satisfy unitarity bounds. The case of sinh-Gordon interactions in two dimensions is a quite interesting example of such cancellations, where the nullification survives at any energy and/or at any order of perturbation theory [16].

In this paper we are introducing some new recursion relations involving the integrals of the amplitudes over the phase space,

$$a(n,w) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^D p_i \delta(p_i{}^2 - m^2)\theta(p_i{}^0)\mathcal{A}(\surd_{\infty}, \surd_{\in}, \dots, \surd_{\backslash})\delta^{\mathcal{D}}(\sum \surd_{\rangle} - \mathcal{P}) \tag{1}$$

where $w^2 = P^2$. These integrals are proportional to the s-wave amplitudes. Furthermore, using the well-known Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\sigma(n,w) \sim \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^D p_i \delta(p_i{}^2 - m^2)\theta(p_i{}^0)|\mathcal{A}(\surd_{\infty}, \surd_{\in}, \dots, \surd_{\backslash})|^{\in} \geq \frac{|\dashv(\backslash, \sqsupseteq)|^{\in}}{\mathcal{V}_{\backslash}(\sqsupseteq)} \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma(n,w)$ is the total cross section and $V_n(w)$ is the phase-space volume.

On the other hand, recursion relations for tree-order amplitudes are, at least formally, easily understood. For instance the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(\infty \rightarrow \backslash)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\surd_{\infty}, \surd_{\in}, \dots, \surd_{\backslash}) \;&=\; \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{p=2}^{n} \frac{-i\lambda_{p+1}}{p!} \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p} \frac{i\mathcal{A}(\{\surd_{\rangle}^{(\infty)}\}, \backslash_{\infty})}{\backslash_{\infty}!((\Sigma_{\rangle=\infty}^{\backslash_{\infty}} \surd_{\rangle}^{(\infty)})^{\in} - \Updownarrow^{\in})} \\ &\qquad \frac{i\mathcal{A}(\{\surd_{\rangle}^{(\in)}\}, \backslash_{\in})}{\backslash_{\in}!((\Sigma_{\rangle=\infty}^{\backslash_{\in}} \surd_{\rangle}^{(\in)})^{\in} - \Updownarrow^{\in})} \cdots \frac{i\mathcal{A}(\{\surd_{\rangle}^{(\surd)}\}, \backslash_{\surd})}{\backslash_{\surd}!((\Sigma_{\rangle=\infty}^{\backslash_{\surd}} \surd_{\rangle}^{(\surd)})^{\in} - \Updownarrow^{\in})}\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\surd_{\rangle}^{(\rangle)}\}, \backslash) = \mathcal{A}(\surd_{\infty}^{(\rangle)}, \surd_{\in}^{(\rangle)}, \dots, \surd_{\backslash}^{(\rangle)}) \tag{4}$$

and $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{\surd_{|}^{(\rangle)},\ \ \rangle = \infty, \dots, \surd,\ \ | = \infty, \dots, \backslash_{\rangle},\ \ \sum \backslash_{\rangle} = \backslash\}$ represents a given permutation of the final state momenta. The sum over $n_1, \dots, n_p$ has to be understood under the constraint $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_p = n$. The coupling constants λ_p correspond to an expansion of the interaction potential

$$V(\phi) = \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{p!} \phi^p \quad . \tag{5}$$

When all the momenta are equal and on-shell, the kinematical configuration corresponds to the threshold case, and the recursion relation of Eq.(3) has been studied extensively using the well-known technique of generating functions [3].

In order to combine the recursion relation of Eq.(3) with the integration over the phase space in Eq.(1), we introduce the Laplace transform of the integrated amplitude $a(n, w)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{a}(n, \beta) = \int d^D P e^{-\beta \cdot P} a(n, w) \ ; \tag{6}$$

which taking into account the overall δ-function, this can be written simply as

$$\tilde{a}(n, \beta) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^D p_i \delta({p_i}^2 - m^2) \theta({p_i}^0) e^{-\beta \cdot p_i} \mathcal{A}(\surd^{\infty}, \surd^{\in}, \ldots, \surd^{\backslash}) \quad . \tag{7}$$

Defining

$$\tilde{a}(n, \beta) = -in!(\partial^2 - m^2) \tilde{b}(n, \beta) \ , \quad \partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^\mu} \tag{8}$$

and using Eq.(3), we have

$$(\partial^2 - m^2) \tilde{b}(n, \beta) = \sum_{p=2}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{p+1}}{p!} \sum_{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_p} \tilde{b}(n_1, \beta) \tilde{b}(n_2, \beta) \ldots \tilde{b}(n_p, \beta) \quad . \tag{9}$$

We can now proceed by using the method of generating functions. Defining that

$$\phi(\beta; \tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n\tau} \tilde{b}(n, \beta) \tag{10}$$

we find that the generating function satisfies the classical field equations given by

$$(\partial^2 - m^2)\phi = V'(\phi) \quad . \tag{11}$$

The above equation can be seen as a generalization of the well-known result that the generating function of tree amplitudes satisfies the classical field equations [17]: integrals of amplitudes over the phase space can be calculated as well using the classical solutions in D dimensions. On the other hand, since we seek only solutions that depend on the measure of the vector β^μ, Eq.(11) becomes an ordinary (non-linear) differential equation

$$\Big(\frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} + \frac{D-1}{\beta} \frac{d}{d\beta} - m^2 \Big) \phi = V'(\phi) \tag{12}$$

which under certain circumstances can be solved exactly.

However hand we have to be careful when we consider Eq.(12) in connection with Eq.(10). Since we are dealing with a system of differential equations the answer might not be unique. This fact is reflected in the dependence of the solutions of Eq.(12) on τ, which will indroduce an 'infinite' arbitrariness. This can be illustrated in the following example. Let us choose $b_n(x) = ne^{-nx}$, which is the Laplace transform of a δ-function, $\delta(t-n)$. They satisfy the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\Big(\frac{d^2}{dx^2}-1\Big)b_n(x) = 6\sum_{n_1,n_2} b_{n_1}(x)b_{n_2}(x) \tag{13}$$

which can be seen as a one-dimensional ϕ^3 theory. Defining $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n\tau}b_n(x)$ Eq.(13) becomes

$$\Big(\frac{d^2}{dx^2}-1\Big)\phi = 6\phi^2 \tag{14}$$

with the solution

$$\phi(x;\tau) = \frac{1}{4}\sinh^{-2}\Big(\frac{x+x_0(\tau)}{2}\Big) \quad . \tag{15}$$

It is now obvious that the existence of an arbitrary function of τ, $x_0(\tau)$, does not allow to calculate the 'amplitudes' b_n unless some other conditions are specified. For instance, if we require that the inverse Laplace transform of $b_n(x)$ vanish for $t < n$, we single out the initial solution. Indeed this requirement applies also in the case of $D > 1$, since all physical amplitudes are non-zero only for $w \geq nm$.

Having the solution of Eq.(12), we can find the integrated amplitude as follows [18],

$$a(n,w) = w^{1-D/2}\frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2^{D/2-1}\Omega_D\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2})}\frac{1}{i\pi}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} d\beta\ I_\nu(\beta w)\ \beta^{D/2}\ \tilde{a}(n,\beta) \tag{16}$$

where $\nu = D/2-1$, I_ν is the modified Bessel function and

$$\Omega_D = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D=2 \\ 2\pi^{\frac{D-1}{2}}/\Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2}) & \text{if } D\geq 3 \quad . \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Several results can be reproduced using the above formalism. First of all expanding around $\beta=\infty$, which in w-space corresponds to an expansion around threshold, $w = nm$, we get ($D = 4$)

$$b(n,\beta) = e^{-n\beta m}\beta^{-3(n-1)/2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k(n)\beta^{-k} \quad . \tag{18}$$

The first coefficient, $b_0(n)$, satisfies the equation

$$(n^2-1)b_0(n) = \sum_{p=2}^{n}\frac{\lambda_{p+1}}{p!}\sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_p} b_0(n_1)b_0(n_2)\ldots b_0(n_p) \tag{19}$$

with the well-known result [3, 11]. Notice that the leading term in the expansion around $w = nm$, is proportional to $n!(w-nm)^{\frac{3n-5}{2}}/\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}(n-1))$ which, taking $(w-nm)$ to be constant, is not unitarity-violating as we expected, since it corresponds to the non-relativistic approximation. In contrast, the saddle-point approximation for the integral in Eq.(16) should be used when $w \to \infty$, but $f = w/nm$ is constant. Assuming that in this limit, $b(n,\beta) \sim b_0(n)\exp(-n\varphi(\beta))$, the saddle-point equation is given by

$$\frac{d}{d\beta}\varphi(\beta) = f \quad . \tag{20}$$

This leads to the following recursion relation for the leading coefficient

$$f^2(n^2-1)b_0(n) = \sum_{p=2}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{p+1}}{p!} \sum_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_p} b_0(n_1)b_0(n_2)\dots b_0(n_p) \tag{21}$$

where we have replaced n^2 by (n^2-1) in order to make the recursion relation consistent for all $n \geq 1$. It is easy to see that Eq.(21) is nothing but the recursion relation for the lower bound on the amplitude given in references [3, 4] and that $\varphi(\beta) \sim \log(K_1(\beta m)/\beta)$, which is given by the initial condition that

$$b(1,\beta) = \frac{2\pi m\, K_1(\beta m)}{\beta} \quad . \tag{22}$$

Another advantage of our method is that one can study massless theories as well, for which the threshold amplitude is singular. This is possible because, in several cases, the singularity of the propagator is cancelled by the phase-space integration. For instance, for the ϕ^4 in four space-time dimensions, it is easy to show that the result is indeed finite for the integrated amplitude and that Eq.(3) is suitable for the study of multiparticle massless amplitudes.

The amplitude $\mathcal{A}(\in \to \backslash)$ can be studied in exactly the same way. The resulting equation is given by

$$(\partial^2 + 2q\cdot\partial)\tilde{c}(n,\beta,y) = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{p+2}}{p!} \sum_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_p} \tilde{c}(n_1,\beta,y)\tilde{b}(n_2,\beta)\dots\tilde{b}(n_p,\beta) \tag{23}$$

where $y = \beta\cdot q/\beta$ and

$$-in!(\partial^2 + 2q\cdot\partial)\tilde{c}(n,\beta,y) = \int d^D P e^{-\beta\cdot P} a_2(n,w,P\cdot q) \tag{24}$$

with

$$a_2(n,w,P\cdot q) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} d^D p_i \delta(p_i{}^2 - m^2)\theta(p_i{}^0)\mathcal{A}_\in(\text{II};\, \surd^\infty,\, \surd^\in, \dots,\, \surd^\backslash)\delta^{\mathcal{D}}(\textstyle\sum \surd^\rangle - \mathcal{P}) \tag{25}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\in(\text{II};\, \surd^\infty,\, \surd^\in, \dots,\, \surd^\backslash)$ is the amplitude for the process

$$\phi(q') + \phi(q) \to \phi(p_1) + \dots + \phi(p_n) \quad .$$

Of course for the physical amplitude we have to take the limit $q'^2 \to m^2$, or $2P\cdot q \to w^2$, so that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the physical amplitudes and the poles of the function $c(n,w,P\cdot q)$ in $(w^2 - 2P\cdot q)$.

In order to study Eq.(23), we expand around threshold, which in β-space corresponds to an expansion around $\beta = \infty$. The leading coefficient satisfies the following equation

$$n(n-2E)c_0(n,E) = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{p+2}}{p!} \sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_p} c_0(n_1,E)b_0(n_2)\ldots b_0(n_p) \tag{26}$$

where b_0 satisfies Eq.(19). Equation (26) exhibits the nullification properties, i.e. the existence of a finite number of poles in $(n-2E)$ for certain theories [11, 12]. The next-to-leading coefficient, $c_1(n,E)$, satisfies the equation ($D=2$):

$$\begin{aligned} & n(n-2E)c_1(n,E) + (n^2-n-nE)c_0(n,E) + 2(1-E^2)\frac{d}{dE}c_0(n,E) \\ & = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{p+2}}{p!} \sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_p} (c_1(n_1,E)b_0(n_2) + pc_0(n_1,E)b_1(n_2))\ldots b_0(n_p) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $b_1(n)$ satisfies the equation

$$(n^2-1)b_1(n) + n(n-1)b_0(n) = \sum_{p=2}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{p+1}}{p!} \sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_p} b_1(n_1)b_0(n_2)\ldots b_0(n_p) \quad . \tag{28}$$

As a check of these equations we consider the case of the sinh-Gordon potential in two space-time dimensions, which is given by (including the mass term)

$$U(\phi) = \frac{m^2}{\lambda^2}(\cosh(\lambda\phi) - 1) \quad . \tag{29}$$

The result of the recursion relation Eq.(27), taking for simplicity $m = 1$, $\lambda = 1$ so that $\lambda_{p+1} = 0$ for p even and $\lambda_{p+1} = 1$ for p odd, is the absence of any pole other than $E = 1$ for $c_1(n,E)$, as expected, since we know that the nullification for this model is exact for any energy and not only at threshold [16]. This is a nice test for the validity of our formalism.

Let us now study the high-n behaviour of the function $\tilde{c}(n,\beta,y)$ in the limit $n \to \infty$, $w \to \infty$, $f{=}w/nm{=}$constant. This can be done by studying the inverse Laplace transform in Eq.(24). The saddle-point equation in β-space can be written as:

$$\partial_\mu F(\beta,y) = P_\mu \tag{30}$$

where $F \sim -\log(\tilde{c})$. The solution is given by $F = n\varphi(\beta)$, where φ satisfies Eq.(20). Writing $\tilde{c} = c_0(n,E)\exp(-n\varphi(\beta))$, and keeping the leading terms in n, we find that

$$(f^2n^2 - 2fnE)c_0(n,E) = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{p+2}}{p!} \sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_p} c_0(n_1,E)b_0(n_2)\ldots b_0(n_p) \tag{31}$$

where we consider E to be of order n. It is interesting to notice that if b_0 satisfies Eq.(21) then $c_0(n,E)$ still has a finite number of poles in $(nf-2E)$ for the theories with the nullification property. The only difference from the threshold case is a trivial redefinition of the couplings, $\lambda \to \lambda/f^2$, and the fact that the poles have been shifted from $E = n/2$ to $E = fn/2$, as they should. It is also interesting to notice that this result does not depend on the dimensionality of the space-time, which enters only in subleading terms, and that it is indeed true for the case of the sinh-Gordon potential in two space-time dimensions. Although the question of nullification beyond threshold is of capital importance, a complete study of this problem in the context of 4-dimensional theories is beyond the scope of this paper and will be given elsewhere [19].

We conclude by emphasizing that the formalism we propose in this paper leads to recursion relations for integrated (partial-wave) amplitudes, which can be used to reconstruct the total cross section. The simple integral of the amplitude over the phase space, which is proportional to the s-wave amplitude, is a rigorous lower bound on the total cross section. This formalism is quite general and has the very interesting property that the generating function of the Laplace-transformed integrated amplitudes, at tree order, satisfies the classical equation of motion in D dimensions. All previously known results can easily be reproduced, using appropriate approximation methods. Furthermore, this formalism enables us to directly relate tree-order unitarity, in the limit of high multiplicities, with the classical field equations, and offers the unique possibility to study multiparticle production above threshold.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank E. N. Argyres and R. Kleiss for helpful discussions. I am also grateful to Mrs Susy Vascotto for her assistance in preparing the manuscript.

References

[1] H. Goldberg, Phys. Lett. **B246** (1990) 445

[2] J.M. Cornwall and G. Tiktopoulos, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 2105;

[3] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss and C.G. Papadopoulos, Nucl. Phys. **B391** (1993) 42.

[4] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss and C.G. Papadopoulos, Nucl. Phys. **B391** (1993) 57.

[5] M.B. Voloshin, Nucl. Phys. **B383** (1992) 233.

[6] M.B. Voloshin, Phys. Lett. **B293** (1992),389.

[7] M.V. Libanov, V.A. Rubakov and S.V. Troitsky, 'Tree amplitudes at multiparticle threshold in a model with softly broken $O(2)$ symmetry', Russian Academy of Sciences, INR-823/93, hep/ph-9307213

[8] M.B. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 357 and 'Comment on 'Summing one-loop graphs at multi-particle threshold", Univ. of Minnesota, TPI-MINN-92/46-T; B.H. Smith, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 3521.

[9] B.H. Smith, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 3518.

[10] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss and C.G. Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B308** (1993) 292.

[11] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss and C.G. Papadopoulos, Nucl. Phys. **B395** (1993) 3.

[12] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss and C.G. Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B302** (1993) 70.

[13] L.S. Brown and C. Zhai, '$2 \to n$ threshold production at tree level', Univ. of Washington preprint UW/PT-92-23.

[14] M.B. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 2573.

[15] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss and C.G. Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B308** (1993) 315.

[16] A.B. Zamolodchikov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. **120** (1979),253.

[17] L.S. Brown, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992),R4125.

[18] R. Kleiss and W.J. Stirling, Nucl. Phys. **B385** (1992) 413.

[19] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss and C.G. Papadopoulos, in preparation.